



U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D. C. 20536



D2

AUG 10 2000

File: EAC 99 051 52807 Office: Vermont Service Center Date:

IN RE: Petitioner: [Redacted]
Beneficiary: [Redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: [Redacted]

Copy

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Terrance M. O'Reilly
Terrance M. O'Reilly, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

21101000-05027101

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner, Examinations, on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner seeks to employ the beneficiary as a "programmer analyst" for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the beneficiary qualifies to perform services in a specialty occupation.

On appeal, the counsel states that the beneficiary's degree in industrial engineering is related to the specialty occupations in the computer field. Counsel further states that it believes that the petitioner has submitted evidence to show that its offered position is a specialty occupation and that the beneficiary possesses the qualifications for that position.

Section 101(a) (15) (H) (i) (b) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a) (15) (H) (i) (b), provides in part for nonimmigrant classification to qualified aliens who are coming temporarily to the United States to perform services in a specialty occupation. Section 214(i) (1) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i) (1), defines a "specialty occupation" as an occupation that requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge, and attainment of a bachelor's or higher degree in the specific specialty (or its equivalent) as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

Pursuant to section 214(i) (2) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(i) (2), to qualify as an alien coming to perform services in a specialty occupation the beneficiary must hold full state licensure to practice in the occupation, if such licensure is required to practice in the occupation. In addition, the beneficiary must have completed the degree required for the occupation, or have experience in the specialty equivalent to the completion of such degree and recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions relating to the specialty.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h) (4) (iii) (B), the petitioner shall submit the following with an H-1B petition involving a specialty occupation:

1. A certification from the Secretary of Labor that the petitioner has filed a labor condition application with the Secretary,
2. A statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application for the duration of the alien's authorized period of stay, and
3. Evidence that the alien qualifies to perform services in the specialty occupation.

The petitioner has provided a certified labor condition application and a statement that it will comply with the terms of the labor condition application. However, the application was certified on December 6, 1998, a date subsequent to November 30, 1998, the filing date of the visa petition. Regulations at 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(i)(B)(1) provide that before filing a petition for H-1B classification in a specialty occupation, the petitioner shall obtain a certification from the Department of Labor that it has filed a labor condition application. Since this has not occurred, it is concluded that the petition may not be approved for this reason.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(C), to qualify to perform services in a specialty occupation, the alien must meet one of the following criteria:

1. Hold a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
2. Hold a foreign degree determined to be equivalent to a United States baccalaureate or higher degree required by the specialty occupation from an accredited college or university;
3. Hold an unrestricted State license, registration, or certification which authorizes him or her to fully practice the specialty occupation and be immediately engaged in that specialty in the state of intended employment; or
4. Have education, specialized training, and/or progressively responsible experience that is equivalent to completion of a United States baccalaureate or higher degree in the specialty occupation and have recognition of expertise in the specialty through progressively responsible positions directly related to the specialty.

The record shows that the beneficiary was awarded a "Bachelor of Engineering degree (in its Production Branch)" from the [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The petitioner has provided an evaluation of the beneficiary's education by an evaluation service. The evaluation states that the beneficiary's foreign education is equivalent to a Bachelor's degree in mechanical engineering with a minor in computer information systems from an accredited university in the United States. However, a review of the transcript submitted indicates that the beneficiary completed only two computer courses at the University which does not amount to even one full semester of study. Therefore, the beneficiary is not qualified to work in a specialty occupation as a programmer analyst on the basis of education alone.

For the purpose of determining equivalency to a baccalaureate degree in a field related to the job offered in this case, three years of specialized training and/or work experience must be demonstrated for each year of college-level training that the alien lacks. Here, the beneficiary needs twelve years of experience in the specialty occupation to qualify.

The petitioner claims that the beneficiary had been employed for the firm in a qualifying position in India beginning in January 1998. Accepting the petitioner's assertion, the beneficiary had attained less than one year of experience at the time the visa petition was filed on November 30, 1998. It is determined that at the time the petition was filed, he had attained far less than twelve years of qualifying experience in the field of computer science. Therefore, the visa petition may not be approved for this additional reason. See: 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(D)(5).

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act. 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.