



U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

D6

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D. C. 20536



FILE: [Redacted] Office: Nebraska Service Center
LIN 00 011 52861

Date: AUG 29 2000

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



APPLICATION: Petition for Alien Fiance(e) Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(K)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Terrance M. O'Reilly, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Nebraska Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a citizen of the United States who seeks to classify the beneficiary, a native and citizen of the Bahamas, as the fiance of a United States citizen pursuant to section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(K).

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner failed to: (1) establish that she and the beneficiary personally met within two years prior to the date of filing the petition; (2) establish that she is a United States citizen; and (3) submit additional documentation as had been requested.

On appeal, the petitioner claims that she and the beneficiary met in May and August 1998. She further claims that the beneficiary arrived in the United States on March 4, 2000, and is presently residing with her. The petitioner submits additional evidence.

Section 101(a)(15)(K) of the Act defines a nonimmigrant in this category as:

An alien who is the fiancée or fiance of a citizen of the United States and who seeks to enter the United States solely to conclude a valid marriage with the petitioner within ninety days after admission, and the minor children of such fiancée or fiance accompanying him or following to join him.

Section 214(d) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1184(d), states, in pertinent part, that a fiance(e) petition:

shall be approved only after satisfactory evidence is submitted by the petitioner to establish that the parties have previously met in person within 2 years before the date of filing the petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of ninety days after the alien's arrival, except that the Attorney General in his discretion may waive the requirement that the parties have previously met in person....

8 C.F.R. 214.2(k)(2) provides that as a matter of discretion, the director may exempt the petitioner from the requirement that the parties have previously met only if it is established that compliance would result in extreme hardship to the petitioner or that compliance would violate strict and long-established customs of the beneficiary's foreign culture or social practice.

The petition was filed with the Service on October 25, 1999. Therefore, the petitioner and the beneficiary must have met in person between October 26, 1997 and October 25, 1999.

The petitioner claims that she and the beneficiary met on May 24, 1998, and again in August 1998. Contained in the record is a copy of a cruise line tour booking confirmation confirming that the petitioner was booked on a cruise ship sailing between unnamed ports of departure and arrival on August 27, 1998. This evidence is insufficient to establish that the petitioner and beneficiary met within the required period.

On appeal, the petitioner submits a copy of her Missouri birth certificate as evidence of her U.S. citizenship. However, she failed to submit Form G-325A (Biographic Information) signed by the beneficiary as had been requested by the director.

The petitioner has failed to establish that she and the beneficiary have met personally as required, pursuant to section 214(d) of the Act. Nor has the petitioner established that she warrants a discretionary waiver of the requirement pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(k)(2). Further, the petitioner has failed to submit additional documentation as had been requested by the director.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.