



B5

U.S. Department of Justice
Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

File:

Office: Nebraska Service Center Date:

JAN 18 2002

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:

Petition: Immigrant Petition for Alien Worker as a Member of the Professions Holding an Advanced Degree or an Alien of Exceptional Ability Pursuant to Section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(2)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Texas Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The decision of the director will be withdrawn, the appeal will be sustained, and the petition will be approved.

The petitioner designs, develops, manufactures and sells inter-networking systems. It seeks to employ the beneficiary permanently in the United States as a product marketing engineer pursuant to section 203(b)(2) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(2). As required by statute, the petition was accompanied by certification from the Department of Labor. The director determined that the position does not require a member of the professions holding an advanced degree.

On appeal, counsel maintains that the position sought by the beneficiary meets the requirements of the pertinent visa classification.

In pertinent part, section 203(b)(2) of the Act provides immigrant classification to members of the professions holding advanced degrees or their equivalent and whose services are sought by an employer in the United States. An advanced degree is a U.S. academic or professional degree or a foreign equivalent degree above the baccalaureate level. The equivalent of an advanced degree is either a U.S. baccalaureate or foreign equivalent degree followed by at least five years of progressive experience in the specialty. 8 C.F.R. 204.5(k)(2).

The beneficiary's eligibility as a member of the professions with post-baccalaureate experience equivalent to an advanced degree is not in dispute. The issue to be determined here is whether this particular position requires a member of the professions holding an advanced degree or its equivalent. The key to this determination is found on Form ETA-750 Part A. This section of the application for alien labor certification, "Offer of Employment," describes the terms and conditions of the job offered. Blocks 14 and 15 of the ETA-750 Part A must establish that the position requires an employee with either a master's degree or a U.S. baccalaureate or foreign equivalent degree followed by at least five years of progressive experience in the specialty. 8 C.F.R. 204.5(k)(4)(i).

On the ETA-750 Part A, the petitioner indicated that the position requires a B.S. in computer science, computer engineering, electrical engineering or a related field, plus five years of relevant experience, or else an M.S. in one of those fields plus three years of relevant experience.

In denying the petition, the director asserted that the position requires five years of post-baccalaureate experience, but it does



not specify that the experience must be progressive, and therefore it does not require the equivalent of an advanced degree.

Five years of experience in a computer engineering occupation would necessarily be progressive, due to the highly technical nature and the rate of change in the computer field. The determination to approve the petition would have been made simpler if the petitioner had required "progressive" experience on the labor certification, but the petitioner's failure to use that word may be excused in this instance, where the nature of the work to be performed is inherently progressive. This position, at a minimum, requires a professional holding an advanced degree or its equivalent.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, the petitioner has met that burden.

ORDER: The decision of the director dated September 7, 1999 is withdrawn. The appeal is sustained and the petition is approved.