B9

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

Identification data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

[redacted]

FILE: [redacted] Office: Vermont Service Center Date: 2 8 FEB 2002
EAC 01 203 52615

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

APPLICATION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1154(a)(1)(B)(ii)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

Public Copy

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of $110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Helen E. Crawford for

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Canada who is seeking classification as a special immigrant pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1154(a)(1)(B)(ii), as the battered spouse of a lawful permanent resident of the United States.

The director determined that the petitioner failed to establish that her spouse is a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States. The director, therefore, denied the petition.

On appeal, counsel asserts that the petitioner's spouse started paperwork in furtherance of obtaining lawful permanent residence; however, he intentionally held back because he knew that the petitioner would benefit from this process.

8 C.F.R. 204.2(c)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

> (i) A spouse may file a self-petition under section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act for his or her classification as an immigrant relative or as a preference immigrant if he or she:
>
> > (A) Is the spouse of a citizen or lawful permanent resident of the United States;
> >
> > (B) Is eligible for immigrant classification under section 201(b)(2)(A)(i) or 203(a)(2)(A) of the Act based on that relationship;
> >
> > (C) Is residing in the United States;
> >
> > (D) Has resided in the United States with the citizen or lawful permanent resident spouse;
> >
> > (E) Has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage; or is the parent of a child who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, the citizen or lawful permanent resident during the marriage;
> >
> > (F) Is a person of good moral character;

> (G) Is a person whose deportation (removal) would result in extreme hardship to himself, herself, or his or her child; and
>
> (H) Entered into the marriage to the citizen or lawful permanent resident in good faith.

The record reflects that the petitioner married her spouse on December 11, 1987 at East Greenwich, Rhode Island. The petition, Form I-360, further reflects that the petitioner arrived in the United States on February 12, 2001, as an H-4 (spouse of an alien classified H-1B). On June 7, 2001, a self-petition was filed by the petitioner claiming eligibility as a special immigrant alien who has been battered by, or has been the subject of extreme cruelty perpetrated by, her spouse during their marriage.

8 C.F.R. 204.2(c)(1)(i)(A) provides that the abusive spouse must be a citizen of the United States or a lawful permanent resident of the United States when the petition is filed and when it is approved.

The director denied the petition after determining that the applicant's spouse is neither a citizen nor a lawful permanent resident of the United States but, rather, he has the status of an H-1B nonimmigrant (specialty occupation).

Despite counsel's contention that the petitioner's spouse will be obtaining his lawful permanent residence in the near future, the petitioner has failed to establish that her spouse was a lawful permanent resident of the United States when the self-petition was filed. She is, therefore, statutorily ineligible for the benefit sought pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) or 204(a)(1)(B)(ii) of the Act.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not met that burden. Accordingly, the appeal will be dismissed.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.