

PUBLIC COPY

U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

B9

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 E Street N.W.
BCL/AAO, 20 Mass, 3/F
Washington, D.C. 20536



AUG 26 2003

FILE: 
EAC 02 087 52308

Office: Vermont Service Center

Date:

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary:



APPLICATION: Petition for Special Immigrant Battered Spouse Pursuant to Section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii)

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The preference visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center, and is now before the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) on appeal. The case will be remanded to the director for further action.

The petitioner is a native and citizen of Morocco who is seeking classification as a special immigrant, pursuant to section 204(a)(1)(A)(iii) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1154(a)(1)(A)(iii), as the battered spouse of a United States citizen.

The director denied the petition after determining that the petitioner had failed to respond to his request of April 25, 2002, to submit additional evidence.

On appeal, the petitioner asserts that he did submit evidence to support his petition within the allowed time frame. He further asserts that he did not submit the information and evidence requested on April 25, 2002, because he was detained by the San Antonio Service office on May 1, 2002, and that he is still in Service custody.

The director has requested that this case be remanded to him so he may reopen and reconsider his decision. Accordingly, without addressing the merits of the case, this case will be remanded to the director.

ORDER: The director's decision is withdrawn. The case is remanded for appropriate action consistent with the above.