CA

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

[redacted]

Public Copy

File: WAC-99-010-50051 Office: California Service Center Date: AUG 1 2001

IN RE: Petitioner: [redacted]
Beneficiary:

Petition: Petition for Special Immigrant Religious Worker Pursuant to Section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), as described at Section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(27)(C)

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER: Self-represented

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:
This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of $110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Myra L. Rosenberg
for Robert P. Wiemann, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The immigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, California Service Center, and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a church. It seeks classification of the beneficiary as a special immigrant religious worker pursuant to section 203(b)(4) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the "Act"), 8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4), in order to employ him as an accompanist/organist at a salary of $1,500 per month or $18,000 per year.

The director denied the petition finding that the claim that the beneficiary had been a volunteer accompanist at the petitioner's facility for the past two years, while a full-time university student, was insufficient to satisfy the requirement that the alien had been continuously carrying on a religious occupation for at least the two years preceding the filing of the petition. The director specified that voluntary activities with one's religious institution was not considered to satisfy the requirement of continuously engaging in a religious occupation.

On appeal, an official of the petitioning church reasserted the claim that the beneficiary had been a volunteer at the church as stated.

Section 203(b)(4) of the Act provides classification to qualified special immigrant religious workers as described in section 101(a)(27)(C) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(27)(C), which pertains to an immigrant who:

> (i) for at least 2 years immediately preceding the time of application for admission, has been a member of a religious denomination having a bona fide nonprofit, religious organization in the United States;
>
> (ii) seeks to enter the United States--
>
> (I) solely for the purpose of carrying on the vocation of a minister of that religious denomination,
>
> (II) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization at the request of the organization in a professional capacity in a religious vocation or occupation, or
>
> (III) before October 1, 2003, in order to work for the organization (or for a bona fide organization which is affiliated with the religious denomination and is exempt from taxation as an organization described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Code of 1986) at the request of

> the organization in a religious vocation or occupation; and
>
> (iii) has been carrying on such vocation, professional work, or other work continuously for at least the 2-year period described in clause (i).

The petitioner in this matter is a church recognized with the appropriate tax exempt recognition. The beneficiary is described as a native and citizen of Korea who was last admitted to the United States on May 10, 1996, in F-1 classification. His current immigration status is unknown.

At issue is whether the petitioner has established that the beneficiary had had the requisite two years of continuous experience in a religious occupation.

8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(1) states, in pertinent part, that:

> All three types of religious workers must have been performing the vocation, professional work, or other work continuously (either abroad or in the United States) for at least the two year period immediately preceding the filing of the petition.

The petition was filed on October 9, 1998. Therefore, the petitioner must establish that the beneficiary was continuously carrying on a religious occupation since at least October 9, 1996.

The director found that a claim of voluntary participation in church activities does not constitute carrying on a religious occupation for the purpose of special immigrant classification.

On appeal, the petitioner reasserted its original claim, but failed to address this finding as the basis for denial of the visa petition.

For the purpose of special immigrant classification, the Service holds that lay persons who perform volunteer activities for their church are not engaged in a religious occupation and that the voluntary activities do not constitute qualifying work experience for the purpose of an employment-based special immigrant visa petition. Accordingly, the petitioner failed to overcome the grounds for denial of the petition.

Beyond the discussion in the director's decision, the petitioner has failed to demonstrate eligibility on other grounds. The petitioner has failed to establish that it has the ability to pay the proffered wage pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 204.5(g)(2); that the proposed position constitutes a qualifying religious occupation pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(2); or that the beneficiary is

qualified to perform a religious occupation pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 204.5(m)(3)(ii)(D). As the appeal will be dismissed on the grounds discussed, these issues need not be examined further.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. Here, that burden has not been met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.