U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536

[redacted]

File: EAC 00 057 53134 Office: Vermont Service Center Date: JAN 8 2001

IN RE: Petitioner:
Beneficiary: [redacted]

Petition: Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L)

Public Copy

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

[redacted]

Identifying data deleted to prevent clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy

INSTRUCTIONS:
This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office which originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information which you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of $110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER,
EXAMINATIONS

Mary C. Mulrean, Acting Director
Administrative Appeals Office

DISCUSSION: The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the Director, Vermont Service Center. The matter is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The decision of the director will be withdrawn and the petition remanded for further consideration.

The petitioner, a sporting goods manufacturer and exporter, seeks authorization to employ the beneficiary temporarily in the United States as president of its new office. The director determined that the petitioner had not established that there is a qualifying relationship between the U.S. and foreign entities.

On appeal, counsel submits additional evidence in support of the appeal.

To establish L-1 eligibility under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(L), the petitioner must demonstrate that the beneficiary, within three years preceding the beneficiary's application for admission into the United States, has been employed abroad in a qualifying managerial or executive capacity, or in a capacity involving specialized knowledge, for one continuous year by a qualifying organization and seeks to enter the United States temporarily in order to continue to render his or her services to the same employer or a subsidiary or affiliate thereof in a capacity that is managerial, executive, or involves specialized knowledge.

8 C.F.R. 214.2 (l)(3)(v) states that if the petition indicates that the beneficiary is coming to the United States as a manager or executive to open or to be employed in a new office in the United States, the petitioner shall submit evidence that:

> A) Sufficient physical premises to house the new office have been secured;
>
> B) The beneficiary has been employed for one continuous year in the three year period preceding the filing of the petition in an executive or managerial capacity and that the proposed employment involved executive or managerial authority over the new operation; and
>
> C) The intended United States operation, within one year of the approval of the petition, will support an executive or managerial position as defined in paragraphs (l)(1)(ii)(B) or (C) of this section, supported by information regarding:
>
>> (1) The proposed nature of the office describing the scope of the entity, its organizational structure, and its financial goals;

(2) The size of the United States investment and the financial ability of the foreign entity to remunerate the beneficiary and to commence doing business in the United States; and

(3) The organizational structure of the foreign entity.

The U.S. petitioner states that it was established in 1999 and that it is a branch of the foreign entity, [redacted], located in Pakistan. The petitioner seeks authorization to employ the beneficiary for three years at an annual salary of $30,000.

At issue in this proceeding is whether the petitioner has established that there is a qualifying relationship between the U.S. and foreign entities.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(l)(1)(ii)(G) states:

Qualifying organization means a United States or foreign firm, corporation, or other legal entity which:

(1) Meets exactly one of the qualifying relationships specified in the definitions of a parent, branch, affiliate or subsidiary specified in paragraph (l)(1)(ii) of this section;

(2) Is or will be doing business (engaging in international trade is not required) as an employer in the United States and in at least one other country directly or through a parent, branch, affiliate, or subsidiary for the duration of the alien's stay in the United States as an intracompany transferee; and

(3) Otherwise meets the requirements of section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Act.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(l)(1)(ii)(I) states:

Parent means a firm, corporation, or other legal entity which has subsidiaries.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(l)(1)(ii)(J) states:

Branch means an operating division or office of the same organization housed in a different location.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(l)(1)(ii)(K) states:

Subsidiary means a firm, corporation, or other legal entity of which a parent owns, directly or indirectly,

more than half of the entity and controls the entity; or owns, directly or indirectly, half of the entity and controls the entity; or owns, directly or indirectly, 50 percent of a 50-50 joint venture and has equal control and veto power over the entity; or owns directly or indirectly, less than half of the entity, but in fact controls the entity.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(l)(1)(ii)(L) states, in pertinent part:

> *Affiliate* means (1) One of two subsidiaries both of which are owned and controlled by the same parent or individual, or
>
> (2) One of two legal entities owned and controlled by the same group of individuals, each individual owning and controlling approximately the same share or proportion of each entity.

The U.S. petitioner claims that it is a branch of the foreign entity, [redacted] In a undated letter the petitioner states that in 1996 the beneficiary became a 50% owner of [redacted], with [redacted] owning the remaining 50% of the company.

The director noted that although the petitioner claimed that the U.S. entity was a branch of the foreign entity, the lease submitted in response to a request for additional evidence showed that the U.S. entity was incorporated and was therefore a subsidiary. The director further noted that no evidence of ownership of the foreign entity had been submitted.

The record contains a stock certificate, which certifies that the foreign entity, [redacted] owns 200 shares of the petitioner's authorized 200 shares of stock. The record is sufficient in demonstrating that a qualifying subsidiary relationship exists between the U.S. and foreign organizations.

Beyond the decision of the director, however, the petitioner has not established that the beneficiary has been or will be employed in a managerial or executive capacity, or that the beneficiary has at least one continuous year of full-time employment abroad with a qualifying organization within the three years preceding the filing of the petition.

This case will be remanded to the director to determine whether the petitioner has met the eligibility requirements discussed under section 101(a)(15)(L) of the Act.

The director may request any additional evidence deemed necessary to assist him with his determination. As always in these

proceedings, the burden of proof rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361.

ORDER: The director's decision of June 9, 2000, is withdrawn. The petition is remanded to the director for further consideration in accordance with the foregoing and entry of a new decision.