

H2

U.S. Department of Homeland Security
Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services

**Identifying data deleted to
prevent clearly unwarranted
invasion of personal privacy**

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS OFFICE
425 Eye Street N.W.
ULLB, 3rd Floor
Washington, D.C. 20536



MAY 14 2003

FILE:

Office: Miami

Date:

IN RE: Applicant:

APPLICATION: Application for Waiver of Grounds of Inadmissibility under Section 212(h) of the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h)

ON BEHALF OF APPLICANT:



PUBLIC COPY

INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Bureau of Citizenship and Immigration Services (Bureau) where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. *Id.*

Any motion must be filed with the office which originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. § 103.7.

Robert P. Wiemann, Director
Administrative Appeals Office

MAY 14 03_07H2212

DISCUSSION: The waiver application was denied by the District Director, Miami, Florida, and a subsequent appeal was dismissed by the Administrative Appeals Office (AAO). The matter is before the AAO on a motion to reopen. The motion will be dismissed.

The applicant is a native and citizen of Venezuela who was found to be inadmissible to the United States under section 212(a)(2)(A)(i)(II) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(a)(2)(A)(i)(II), for having been convicted of a law relating to a controlled substance. The applicant was last admitted to the United States on April 8, 1996, as a nonimmigrant visitor with authorization to remain until October 7, 1996. The applicant failed to apply for or to receive an extension of temporary stay. He began working without Bureau authorization in May 1996. He married a native of Cuba and naturalized U.S. citizen on May 22, 1998, and is the beneficiary of an approved Petition for Alien Relative. The applicant seeks a waiver of this permanent bar to admission as provided under section 212(h) of the Act, 8 U.S.C. § 1182(h).

The district director concluded that the applicant had failed to establish that extreme hardship would be imposed upon his United States citizen wife and son and denied the application accordingly. The AAO affirmed that decision on appeal after prior counsel failed to submit the written brief.

On motion, counsel states that the applicant's conviction was illegal insofar as he stood in court without advice of counsel. On November 29, 2001 counsel stated that he would cause the conviction to be vacated accordingly and will submit documentation within 90 days to establish extreme hardship in this matter. More than 90 days have elapsed since the motion was submitted and no additional documentation has been received for review.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. §103.5(a)(2), a motion to reopen must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(3), a motion to reconsider must state the reasons for reconsideration; and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions.

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. § 103.5(a)(4), a motion that does not meet applicable requirements shall be dismissed.

The issues in this matter were thoroughly discussed by the district director and the AAO in their prior decisions. Since counsel's statements have not been supported by documentation, the motion will be dismissed.

ORDER: The motion is dismissed.