

# Non-Precedent Decision of the Administrative Appeals Office

MATTER OF M-K-L-S-

DATE: AUG. 11, 2016

APPEAL OF NEBRASKA SERVICE CENTER DECISION

PETITION: FORM I-140, IMMIGRANT PETITION FOR ALIEN WORKER

The Petitioner, an opera singer, seeks classification as an "alien of extraordinary ability" in the arts. See Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 203(b)(1)(A), 8 U.S.C. § 1153(b)(1)(A). This first preference classification makes immigrant visas available to those who can demonstrate their extraordinary ability through sustained national or international acclaim and whose achievements have been recognized in their field through extensive documentation.

The Director, Nebraska Service Center, denied the petition. The Director concluded that the Petitioner did not satisfy any initial evidence criteria, when evidence satisfying at least three criteria is required.

The Petitioner appeals the matter to us and submits additional evidence and a brief. She indicates that she has satisfied at least three initial evidence criteria and has shown her extraordinary ability as an opera singer.

Upon de novo review, we will dismiss the appeal.

## I. LAW

The Petitioner may demonstrate her extraordinary ability through sustained national or international acclaim and achievements that have been recognized in her field through extensive documentation. Specifically, section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Act states:

Aliens with extraordinary ability. -- An alien is described in this subparagraph if -

- (1) Priority workers. -- Visas shall first be made available . . . to qualified immigrants who are aliens described in any of the following subparagraphs (A) through (C):
  - (A) Aliens with extraordinary ability. -- An alien is described in this subparagraph if
    - (i) the alien has extraordinary ability in the sciences, arts, education, business, or athletics which has been demonstrated by sustained

- national or international acclaim and whose achievements have been recognized in the field through extensive documentation,
- (ii) the alien seeks to enter the United States to continue work in the area of extraordinary ability, and
- (iii) the alien's entry into the United States will substantially benefit prospectively the United States.

The term "extraordinary ability" refers only to those individuals in "that small percentage who have risen to the very top of the field of endeavor."  $8 \text{ C.F.R.} \ 204.5(h)(2)$ . The implementing regulation at  $8 \text{ C.F.R.} \ 204.5(h)(3)$  sets forth a multi-part analysis. First, a petitioner can demonstrate sustained acclaim and the recognition of his or her achievements in the field through a one-time achievement (that is, a major, internationally recognized award). If the petitioner does not submit this evidence, then he or she must provide sufficient qualifying documentation that meets at least three of the ten categories listed at  $8 \text{ C.F.R.} \ 204.5(h)(3)(i) - (x)$ .

Satisfaction of at least three criteria, however, does not, in and of itself, establish eligibility for this classification. See Kazarian v. USCIS, 596 F.3d 1115 (9th Cir. 2010) (discussing a two-part review where the documentation is first counted and then, if fulfilling the required number of criteria, considered in the context of a final merits determination); see also Visinscaia v. Beers, 4 F. Supp. 3d 126, 131-32 (D.D.C. 2013); Rijal v. USCIS, 772 F. Supp. 2d 1339 (W.D. Wash. 2011); Matter of Chawathe, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 376 (AAO 2010) (holding that the "truth is to be determined not by the quantity of evidence alone but by its quality" and that we examine "each piece of evidence for relevance, probative value, and credibility, both individually and within the context of the totality of the evidence, to determine whether the fact to be proven is probably true").

#### II. ANALYSIS

The Petitioner is a soprano opera singer. The Director found the Petitioner did not submit the necessary initial evidence because she did not demonstrate her receipt of a major, internationally recognized award or provide documentation satisfying at least three of the criteria listed at 8 C.F.R.  $\S 204.5(h)(3)(i) - (x)$ . Upon *de novo* review, we find that the Petitioner has submitted sufficient initial evidence to meet the following three criteria. She has not, however, shown her extraordinary ability because she did not establish she is one of the small percentage who has risen to the very top of the field.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Because the Petitioner meets three criteria, we will not address the remaining criteria claimed. We consider the entire record of evidence, however, in the final merits determination.

## A. Evidentiary Criteria

Documentation of the individual's receipt of lesser nationally or internationally recognized prizes or awards for excellence in the field of endeavor.

The Petitioner provided evidence that she won third prize in the solo voice category at the 2002 held in Philippines. The Petitioner submitted documentation showing that the competition was created through presidential proclamations that indicate it is intended to "promote Philippine music as an art." The mission of the competition is to discover and develop outstanding young Filipino musicians and artists. As a result, the Petitioner's third place finish qualifies as receipt of a lesser nationally recognized award for excellence in the field of endeavor.

Evidence of the individual's participation, either individually or on a panel, as a judge of the work of others in the same or an allied field of specification for which classification is sought.

The Petitioner provided documentation showing that she was a judge at the 2005

sponsored by

and the

Philippines. She also presented evidence of her membership on the board of judges for the

2011

As a result, the

Petitioner has satisfied the plain language of this criterion.

Evidence of the display of the individual's work in the field at artistic exhibitions or showcases.

According to the plain language requirements of this criterion, a qualifying exhibition or showcase must be artistic in nature. Various forms of artistic display may satisfy this requirement, including visual art, performing arts, music, graphic art, and fine art. In this case, the Petitioner provided programs from the held in 2004, 2005 and 2006. The Petitioner's name appears on the programs as the featured soprano singer and her biography is included inside. As a result, we conclude that the Petitioner's craft was sufficiently on display during these concerts to satisfy the plain language of this criterion.

#### B. Merits Determination

As the Petitioner has submitted the necessary initial evidence, we now conduct a final merits determination that considers the entire record in the context of whether or not the Petitioner has demonstrated extraordinary ability as an opera singer by showing that she enjoys a level of expertise indicating she is one of the small percentage who has risen to the very top of the field of endeavor. Section 203(b)(1)(A) of the Act; 8 C.F.R. § 204.5(h)(2), (3); see also Kazarian, 596 F.3d at 1119-20. Based on the totality of the material submitted, we find that the Petitioner has not made the requisite showing.

The Petitioner provided evidence of a nationally recognized award for excellence in the field of endeavor with her third place prize in the solo voice category at the 2002 While national in scope, this competition is limited to young Filipinos. Although indicative of talent and potential, this competition is dedicated to promoting promising artists and musicians. As a result, the Petitioner's third place finish almost fifteen years ago does not represent the sustained national or international acclaim necessary for this benefit.

The Petitioner won an encourage		of the	2015
	osted by the for the purpose of providing	o financial support to	Voling Opera
singers. In 2015, the competition h prize, first prize, second prize, third is for competitors 30 to 45	ad 400 applicants, with 57 l prize, and grant, to the er	receiving awards rang acouragement award.	ging from top The
years of age. The Petitioner submit trustees stating that the Petitioner's recognized by people from the operato." While we note the internation	itted a letter from the presi receipt of the a industry, through this com- onal nature of the compet	"means that petition, as a voice to ition, we find that re	board of she has been look forward eccipt of the
is indicative of stablished herself at the top of the fi	of her future potential, and	not status as one who	o has already
established herself at the top of the fi	ciu.		
The Petitioner won the 2007-08	dicated that this is a compe	at th	
letter confirming the Petitioner's first perform in a concert with the however, provide additional details internationally recognized.	•	The Petition	ner does not,
The appeal also emphasizes distinction April 10, 2015. In October 201	5, the Petitioner was recog	gnized at	
also a finalist for, and will be compe	awards as the winner in the	ne arts and culture cate	egory. She is
mosted by the filing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1), (12); A petition cannot be approved at a file of facts. <i>Matter of Izummi</i> , 22 I&N I where or any other accolades received	Eligibility must, ho <i>Matter of Katigbak</i> , 14 I&N uture date after the petitione Oec. 169, 175 (Comm'r 1998)	er becomes eligible und B). As a result, we may	omm'r 1971). der a new set
The Petitioner highlights her position			in
2000 and 2001. To demonstrate her the	success with the club, the Pe conductor during that time.		that the choir
participated in several highly-rated i		_	
The letter refers to the	but we assume thi	s to be a typographic error.	

<sup>4</sup> 

refers to the choir's "legendary success." The Petitioner provides documentation showing that in 2000 and 2001, the choir won several awards at international choir competitions. While notable, these accomplishments occurred approximately 15 years ago at the collegiate level. The relevant regulations require that the Petitioner demonstrate sustained national or international acclaim. We consider these accomplishments in conjunction with the Petitioner's other accolades in determining whether the Petitioner meets the requirements for the benefit sought. In this case, the Petitioner's soloist is relevant, but does not show the continued national or success as a college international acclaim necessary to establish that she is one of the small percentage at the top of the field.

The Petitioner provided several articles that reference her performances and work. She presented numerous entries from arts and leisure columns in the The articles generally give a description of performances and the authors' impressions of each. They mention the Petitioner's work and are complimentary. To demonstrate the influence of the publication, the Petitioner submitted a entry stating that it is one of the broadsheets in the country. limitations,<sup>3</sup> our consideration of material from this source will vary Keeping in mind depending on whether an entry's relevant information is corroborated, as well as the purpose for which it is presented. As with any submission, we must evaluate its probative value and credibility. See Chawathe, 25 I&N Dec. at 376. In this instance, the include a citation for the readership claimed. Without corroborating evidence, the Petitioner has not demonstrated the significance of a publication in the

The Petitioner submitted three clippings from the One indicates that the Petitioner will be performing at a commemoration of the of death. The article focuses on Another contains a picture of the Petitioner and other performers event. The last mentions her name as a singer at a The Petitioner provided graphics showing the with the with 40% of readership. The Petitioner also is the most widely read broadsheet in metro submitted which mentioned her as a soprano Based on the copy provided on appeal, the performing at the source of the article is not clear. The Petitioner indicates the article is from the the country's most widely read newspaper. Although these articles mention the Petitioner, she is not their focus. The entries indicate that the Petitioner is sufficiently talented that performance reviews mention her. Mere references to the Petitioner or her work, even if in a few major media outlets, do not necessarily indicate that she is part of that small percentage at the very top of her field.

A column in the 2008, Filipino version of focuses on the Petitioner and represents a "talent drain or a world pool of talent." The Petitioner whether her move to

is an online, open-source, collaborative encyclopedia that explicitly states it cannot guarantee the validity of its content. See General Disclaimer, (August 10 2016, 1:05 PM),

provided information on how to subscribe to this publication, but did not otherwise give details regarding its readership numbers or influence. Similarly, she submitted an article in about her role as an ambassador of Filipino culture, but did not provide supporting information regarding the publication's influence. These articles might show that the Petitioner has received some attention from the field. Without more, however, these articles do not establish the necessary national or international acclaim. The record includes a handful of materials that briefly reference the Petitioner, and one article that offers more information on her and her work. This limited media coverage of the Petitioner is not indicative of someone who is among the best opera singers within or outside of the Philippines.

The record includes documentation showing the Petitioner's activities as a judge of the work of others in her field. She submitted a program for the held on 2005. The Petitioner did not, however, demonstrate the significance of her serving in this position. For example, she did not provide information regarding the prestige or renown of the competition, or the qualifications necessary for serving as one of its judges.

She also provided a brochure stating that she was a judge at the 2011 sponsored by the Assistant the school's coordinator, states: "All the judges for the competition were chosen from top-caliber teachers, performers, and managers/promoters in the Philippine classical music scene." He does not however, provide additional substantiation to demonstrate that the Petitioner's selection as a judge for this competition verifies her national or international acclaim. Conclusory statements are not sufficient to meet the Petitioner's burden of proof. *Matter of Soffici*, 22 I&N Dec. 158, 165 (Assoc. Comm'r 1998) (citing Matter of Treasure Craft of California, 14 I&N Dec. 190 (Reg'l Comm'r 1972)).

also notes that the Petitioner taught master classes during which, for example, advanced students sang and she critiqued their work. Although these activities show that the Petitioner has received some recognition in her field, she has not demonstrated that her participation establishes her as one of the small percentage at the top of the field.

The Petitioner provided evidence that she performed as a featured singer in three and held in presented by the is a non-profit organization dedicated in 2004, 2005, and 2006. The concert program states: "Because of its uniqueness, the to preserving the has earned prestige and made its mark on the international cultural scene so much so that many foreign artists and musicians write to express interest in performing at this annual musical event." The record does not contain, however, corroborating information regarding the prestige of the concert. For example, there is no evidence of media coverage of the event. The Petitioner also demonstrated that she sang in a featured performance at the Although she provided information indicating the stature as a venue for cultural development, she did not explain why her performance there means she has risen to the very top of her field. She has not clarified how the organization chooses performers or if it only selects those who have achieved national or international acclaim.

The Petitioner is featured as the soprano singer	on a 2013 album called	
To commemorate the anniversa	ry of the birth of composer	the
Petitioner and four others recorded some of his beinclude information regarding the CD's sales, its the public. Without documentation showing the port the import of the performance, the Petitioner's recognition necessary to establish eligibility.	popularity, or any influence it had obrestige of being selected to perform	on the field or on the album,
On appeal, the Petitioner states that she has received which is demonstrative of extraordinary ability. Showing that the average opera singer earns an anto \$1,000+" per performance. The Petitioner subdirector of the concerts in 2004 for which she received 11,000 Pl however, information regarding the compensation without a basis for comparison, we cannot concluse She also provided a 2009 contract with paid \$3,000 for a performance, as well as a letter her to be the featured soloist at an event on an unkalthough the level of remuneration for these last they represent only two engagements over the established that she regularly receives significantly conclude she is one of the small percentage of individual conclude she is one of the small percentage of individual concludes the stablished that she regularly receives significantly conclude she is one of the small percentage of individual concludes the stablished that she regularly receives significantly conclude she is one of the small percentage of individual concludes the stablished that she regularly receives significantly concludes the small percentage of individual concludes the stablished that she regularly receives significantly concludes the small percentage of individual concludes the small percentage of i	She provides a printout from an unknown salary of \$40,000 and receives be mitted a letter from the vice presider indicating that the Petitioner petition per period of opera singers in the Philippin de that this amount indicates extraord indicated 2010 from nown future date for total compensation two events is higher than the average course of her career. The Petiticy high remuneration for services such	nown website etween "\$450 nt and artistic erformed two is not include, ines in 2004. dinary ability. Eating she was inviting ion of \$3,500. The figure cited, oner has not he that we can
Lastly, the Petitioner highlights letters of recomplishing musician, composer, and conductor. She took his music theory class at the substantially at the artistic director.	mendation. The first is from stated that he met the Pe He then worked where he was the elioner's engagements at	with her more
as indicative of the level of her	craft. As noted above, however, the	e Petitioner's
performance at as part of the the instant petition.	ceremony occurred afte	r the filing of
Letters from the president of concert tenor, emphasize the Petitioner's work rendition of a Filipino	based and as a singer and diction coach for opera, performed on and	the 2014. The
Petitioner provided evidence showing that she tra	• •	diction coach
for cast members, some of whom did not speak		e also sang a
supporting role in the opera. The Petitioner ind		C 41
review of the opera, however, the copy provided		
Petitioner. She states that her transliteration of t should be considered an original contribution		
indicative of her extraordinary ability. The reco		
transliteration had an impact beyond	production. Potential fu	

noted; however, the Petitioner must demonstrate the actual present impact of her work rather than speculation about its future effect on the field. Again, eligibility must be established at the time of filing. 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(1), (12); *Katigbak*, 14 I&N Dec. at 49.

Although the evidence submitted shows the Petitioner is an active, professional opera singer, it does not establish that she has reached the very top of the field nationally or internationally, as required for this immigration benefit. While, the record illustrates that she has received some attention from the field, it does not establish that she has sustained national or international acclaim.

#### III. CONCLUSION

The Petitioner has provided the requisite initial evidence. She has not demonstrated in a final merits determination, however, that she possesses the extraordinary ability required by regulation. The appeal will be dismissed for the above stated reasons, with each considered as an independent and alternate basis for the decision. It is the Petitioner's burden to establish eligibility for the immigration benefit sought. Section 291 of the Act. Here, that burden has not been met.

**ORDER:** The appeal is dismissed.

Cite as *Matter of M-K-L-S-*, ID# 17485 (AAO Aug. 11, 2016)