



**U.S. Citizenship  
and Immigration  
Services**

Non-Precedent Decision of the  
Administrative Appeals Office

In Re: 12538118

Date: MAY 12, 2021

Appeal of a California Service Center Decision

Form I-129F, Petition for Alien Fiancé(e)

The Petitioner, a U.S. citizen, seeks the Beneficiary's admission to the United States under the fiancé(e) visa classification. See Immigration and Nationality Act (the Act) section 101(a)(15)(K)(i), 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(15)(K)(i) (the "K-1" visa classification). A U.S. citizen may petition to bring a fiancée to the United States in K-1 status for marriage.

The Director of the California Service Center denied the petition, concluding that the Petitioner did not submit a statement or other evidence of the Beneficiary's bona fide intent to marry him within 90 days of her admission into the United States. On appeal, the Petitioner provides a brief and asserts that he will marry the Beneficiary within 90 days.

The Petitioner bears the burden of proof to demonstrate eligibility by a preponderance of the evidence.<sup>1</sup> The Administrative Appeals Office (AAO) reviews the questions in this matter de novo.<sup>2</sup> Upon de novo review, we will dismiss the appeal.

## I. LAW

Section 214(d)(1) of the Act states that a fiancé(e) petition can be approved only if the petitioner establishes that the parties have previously met in person within two years before the date of filing the fiancé(e) petition, have a bona fide intention to marry, and are legally able and actually willing to conclude a valid marriage in the United States within a period of 90 days after the beneficiary's arrival. U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services (USCIS) maintains the discretion to waive the requirement of an in-person meeting between the two parties if compliance would either result in extreme hardship to the petitioner, or violate strict and long-established customs of the beneficiary's foreign culture or social practice. *Id.*; 8 C.F.R. § 214.2(k)(2).

Evidence of an intention to marry may include statements of intent to marry signed by both the petitioner and the beneficiary or any other evidence that establishes mutual intent. Form I-129F, Instructions for Petition for Alien Fiancé(e), at 11 (reiterating the requirement that the petitioner must submit evidence of a bona fide intention to marry); see also 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(a)(1) (providing that

<sup>1</sup> Section 291 of the Act; *Matter of Chawathe*, 25 I&N Dec. 369, 375 (AAO 2010).

<sup>2</sup> See *Matter of Christo's Inc.*, 26 I&N Dec. 537, 537 n.2 (AAO 2015).

“[e]very form, benefit request, or other document must be submitted . . . and executed in accordance with the form instructions” and that a “form’s instructions are . . . incorporated into the regulations requiring its submission”).

## II. ANALYSIS

The Petitioner submitted the instant fiancé(e) petition in April 2019 and submitted, among other evidence, a personal statement and a document titled “Certificate of [E]ngagement [B]etween Boys – Girls” (Certificate) issued by the Government of Laos. The Director found this evidence insufficient to establish eligibility for the benefit sought, and issued a request for evidence (RFE) requiring, in part, that the Petitioner submit evidence from the Beneficiary establishing her intent to marry the Petitioner within 90 days of her admission into the United States.

The Director found the Petitioner’s response insufficient. The Director found the Certificate both incomplete and insufficient on its own to establish the Beneficiary intends to marry the Petitioner within 90 days of the Beneficiary’s admission to the United States. The Director also determined that the other evidence submitted had no bearing on the Beneficiary’s intent to marry the Petitioner. The Director found that the evidence did not demonstrate the Beneficiary’s intent to marry the Petitioner, and accordingly, the Director denied the fiancé(e) petition.

On appeal, the Petitioner asserts that he will marry the Beneficiary within 90 days of her admission to the United States. He also states that the Beneficiary is pregnant with their child and that the Beneficiary’s name has been added as an authorized user on his bank and credit cards. As evidence, the Petitioner provides (1) untranslated ultrasound and medical documents and (2) a document of eight credit cards with what appears to be two variations of the Beneficiary’s name.

The evidence in the record is insufficient to establish the Beneficiary’s intent to marry the Petitioner within 90 days of admission into the United States. Specifically, the Petitioner does not submit any evidence from the Beneficiary herself articulating her intention to marry him within 90 days of her admission into the United States, as required by the Act and relevant form instructions. Moreover, as the director noted, the Certificate is incomplete and does not clearly establish the Beneficiary’s intention to marry the Petitioner. Likewise, the untranslated documents<sup>3</sup> and credit card document do not establish the Beneficiary’s intent to marry the Petitioner. No other evidence provided by the Petitioner had any bearing on the Beneficiary’s intent to marry the Petitioner. In the absence of a statement from the Beneficiary providing for her intention to marry the Petitioner within the requisite timeframe, or other evidence indicative of the same, the Petitioner has not met the statutory and regulatory requirements for classifying the Beneficiary as a K-1 nonimmigrant.

## III. CONCLUSION

Because the record does not include evidence establishing that the Beneficiary intends to marry the Petitioner within 90 days of her admission into the United States, the Petitioner has not met the

---

<sup>3</sup> The regulation at 8 C.F.R. § 103.2(b)(3) mandates that foreign language documents be accompanied by certified English translations. As these documents were not accompanied by the requisite certified English translations, we cannot consider them.

statutory and regulatory requirements for classifying the Beneficiary as a K-1 nonimmigrant. We note, however, that the denial of this petition is without prejudice to the filing of another fiancé(e) petition at a future date once the statutory requirements are met.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.